|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Section**  **(suggested word count)** | **Scoring Marks & Commentary** | **Points** |
| B. Investigation  1200-1300 words | The investigation lacks clarity and coherence and is poorly organized. Where there is a recognizable structure there is minimal focus on the task. (1-3 points)  There is an attempt to organize the investigation but this is only partially  successful, and the investigation lacks clarity and coherence. (4-6 points)  The investigation is generally clear and well organized, but there is some  repetition or lack of clarity in places. (7-9 points)  The investigation is generally clear and well organized, although there may  be some repetition or lack of clarity in places. (10-12 points)  The investigation is clear, coherent and effectively organized. (13-15 points) |  |
|  | The response contains little or no critical analysis. It may consist mostly of  generalizations and poorly substantiated assertions. Reference is made to  evidence from sources, but there is no analysis of that evidence. (1-3 points)  The investigation contains some limited critical analysis but the response  is primarily narrative/descriptive in nature, rather than analytical. Evidence  from sources is included, but is not integrated into the analysis/argument. (4-6 points)  The response moves beyond description to include some analysis or critical  commentary, but this is not sustained. There is an attempt to integrate  evidence from sources with the analysis/argument. (7-9 points)  The investigation is generally clear and well organized, although there may  be some repetition or lack of clarity in places. (10-12 points)  The investigation contains well-developed critical analysis that is focused  clearly on the stated question. Evidence from a range of sources is used  effectively to support the argument. (13-15 points) |  |
|  | There is not awareness of different perspectives. (1-3 points)  There is vague mention of different perspectives, but it is not clear. (4-6 points)  There may be awareness of different perspectives, but these perspectives  are not evaluated. (7-9 points)  There is awareness and some evaluation of different perspectives. The  investigation argues to a reasoned conclusion. (10-12 points)  There is evaluation of different perspectives. The investigation argues to a  reasoned conclusion that is consistent with the evidence and arguments  provided. (13-15 points) |  |

For each of the 3 criteria listed above, award points for the best fit. Once you have selected the points earned, average the 3 scores to obtain a final score for Section B. You should end up with a number between 1-15. Only assign a 0 if the criteria is not met at all. In addition to marking up the paper itself with constructive criticism, write a short description of the reasoning behind the score for each criteria.