|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Section****(suggested word count)** | **Scoring Marks & Commentary** | **Points** |
| B. Investigation1200-1300 words | The investigation lacks clarity and coherence and is poorly organized. Where there is a recognizable structure there is minimal focus on the task. (1-3 points)There is an attempt to organize the investigation but this is only partiallysuccessful, and the investigation lacks clarity and coherence. (4-6 points)The investigation is generally clear and well organized, but there is somerepetition or lack of clarity in places. (7-9 points)The investigation is generally clear and well organized, although there maybe some repetition or lack of clarity in places. (10-12 points)The investigation is clear, coherent and effectively organized. (13-15 points) |  |
|  | The response contains little or no critical analysis. It may consist mostly ofgeneralizations and poorly substantiated assertions. Reference is made toevidence from sources, but there is no analysis of that evidence. (1-3 points)The investigation contains some limited critical analysis but the responseis primarily narrative/descriptive in nature, rather than analytical. Evidencefrom sources is included, but is not integrated into the analysis/argument. (4-6 points)The response moves beyond description to include some analysis or criticalcommentary, but this is not sustained. There is an attempt to integrateevidence from sources with the analysis/argument. (7-9 points)The investigation is generally clear and well organized, although there maybe some repetition or lack of clarity in places. (10-12 points)The investigation contains well-developed critical analysis that is focusedclearly on the stated question. Evidence from a range of sources is usedeffectively to support the argument. (13-15 points) |  |
|  | There is not awareness of different perspectives. (1-3 points)There is vague mention of different perspectives, but it is not clear. (4-6 points)There may be awareness of different perspectives, but these perspectivesare not evaluated. (7-9 points)There is awareness and some evaluation of different perspectives. Theinvestigation argues to a reasoned conclusion. (10-12 points)There is evaluation of different perspectives. The investigation argues to areasoned conclusion that is consistent with the evidence and argumentsprovided. (13-15 points) |  |

For each of the 3 criteria listed above, award points for the best fit. Once you have selected the points earned, average the 3 scores to obtain a final score for Section B. You should end up with a number between 1-15. Only assign a 0 if the criteria is not met at all. In addition to marking up the paper itself with constructive criticism, write a short description of the reasoning behind the score for each criteria.